

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 10/663,212 09/15/2003 6683.70USU1 2345 Jack A. Dant **EXAMINER** 43541 03/01/2006 7590 **FAEGRE & BENSON** SWIGER III, JAMES L ATTN: PATENT DOCKETING ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER 90 SOUTH 7TH STREET 3733 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3901 DATE MAILED: 03/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			58
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>V</i>
	10/663,212	DANT, JACK A.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James L. Swiger	3733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addi	ress
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUI 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me, cause the application to become	NICATION. The a reply be timely filed SONTHS from the mailing date of this comes ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 S	Sentember 2003		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		atters, prosecution as to the r	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E		·	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc	cepted or b) Objected	to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·		· ·
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. Is have been received in ority documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National S	tage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)

Application/Control Number: 10/663,212

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-22, drawn to a portal device, classified in class 606, subclass 57.

Claims 23-27, drawn to a method, classified in class 623, subclass 17.16. II.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions

are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another

and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to

practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the device

may be used with another method, such as holding a would open to allow access for an implant

or for another surgery.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and

have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species A: Figs. 1-7, 10, and 14

Species B: Fig. 8

Species C: Fig. 9

Application/Control Number: 10/663,212

Art Unit: 3733

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James L. Swiger whose telephone number is 571-272-5557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JLS

EDUARDO C. ROBERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER